Published online 2021 August 17.

Research Article

Fabrication of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Gelatin Biomimetic Electrospun Nanofibrous Composites and Its Bioactivity Assessment for Bone Tissue Engineering

Somayyeh Rashidi¹, Asadollah Asadi ¹, ^{*}, Saeid Latifi-Navid¹, Saber Zahri¹, Ali Khodayari² and Arash Abdolmaleki ^{3,4}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

³Department of Engineering Sciences, Faculty of Advanced Technologies, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Namin, Iran

⁴Bio Science and Biotechnology Research center (BBRC), Sabalan University of Advanced Technologies (SUAT), Namin, Iran

Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabili, Iran. Email: asad.asady@gmail.com

Received 2021 May 08; Revised 2021 June 20; Accepted 2021 July 17.

Abstract

Background: Bone tissue engineering aims to heal bone defects that do not repair on their own. To construct an implantable osteogenic implant in tissue engineering, cells and bioactive molecules are seeded onto three-dimensional (3D) biomaterial scaffolds. **Objectives:** The aim of this study was to provide an appropriate micro-environment for hUC-MSCs attachment and proliferation over a biocompatible and non-toxic nanofibrous scaffold in order to differentiate into osteoblast cells.

Methods: In this work, a poly(vinylalcohol)/gelatin (PVA/GE) nanocomposite scaffold was prepared using the electrospinning method. Glutaraldehyde/methanol was used as the treating medium to prevent the rapid dissolution of the PVA/GE scaffold in a physiological fluid. The chemical, physical, and morphological characterizations of the prepared scaffold were evaluated by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), respectively. In addition, the porosity, swelling ratio, pH changes, degradation profiles, and hydrophobic-hydrophilic nature of the scaffold were investigated. Biocompatibility of the scaffold was evaluated by using MTT assay. Finally, under osteogenic conditions, the differentiation potential of the human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hUC-MSCs) cultured on the crosslinked scaffold was assessed for 21 days.

Results: The obtained results demonstrated that crosslinking treatment changed water solubility of the PVA/GE scaffold. Also, additional investigations showed good biocompatibility, non-toxic nature, and appropriate degradation rate of the crosslinked scaffold in comparison with the control group.

Conclusions: The results indicated that the PVA/GE crosslinked scaffold with good biocompatibility, non-toxic nature, and appropriate degradation rate can be used for bone tissue engineering aims.

Keywords: Electrospinning, Poly (vinylalcohol)/Gelatin, Nanofibrous Scaffold, Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Bone Tissue Engineering

1. Background

Tissue engineering aims to develop biological substitutes that replace, restore, and maintain diseased or damaged tissues (1, 2). The three critical materials in the field of tissue engineering are cells, soluble signaling factors, and scaffolds. One of the main challenges in tissue engineering is fabricating and designing suitable scaffolds (3). Scaffolds play an essential role in tissue repair and regeneration by acting as a three-dimensional (3D) support structure that promotes cell adhesion, migration, differentiation, and proliferation until the tissues are completely restored (4, 5). The ideal scaffold should mimic the native extracellular matrix (ECM) to provide a suitable environment to chemically inform or physically guide cell response, and consequently, promote new tissue formation (6, 7). In this regard, a simple, flexible, and inexpensive method for preparing nanofibrous scaffolds is electrospinning (8).

The unique potential of scaffolds to mimic the structure and biological functions of natural ECM at the nanometer scale is one of the main advantages of using

Copyright © 2021, Gene, Cell and Tissue. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

the electrospinning technique (9). Electrospun scaffolds can provide an appropriate environment for cellular morphogenesis and development due to their similarity to the fibrous structure of natural ECMs (10, 11). Different natural and synthetic polymers have passed the electrospinning process as bio-mimetic and temporal substrates (12). An essential step in the success of tissue regeneration is choosing the desired biomaterials for scaffold engineering (13). Moreover, key requirements in the selection of biomaterials for scaffold fabrication are biodegradability and biocompatibility. The scaffolds that are fabricated with biodegradable polymers can be absorbed by the surrounding tissues, and no additional surgery is required to eliminate them from the biological environment of the human body (14-16). The preparation of tissue engineering scaffolds is done by electrospinning of gelatin with poly(vinylalcohol) (PVA). Due to having a simple chemical structure and containing numerous polar alcohol groups, PVA is recognized as a hydrophilic polymer. Its unique mechanical properties have made it a biodegradable material widely used in tissue engineering (17, 18).

Natural polymers, such as gelatin which is derived from collagen, are also broadly used in tissue engineering. Due to its biocompatibility and ability to provide a better environment for cell growth and attachment, gelatin can be used as a scaffold material for substituting a natural ECM (19). Other excellent characteristics of gelatin include low antigenicity, bio-affinity, hydrophilicity, and biodegradability (20). Composite fibrous scaffolds containing both natural polymers for cellular attachment and synthetic polymers for mechanical support help improve scaffold properties, including biocompatibility and biodegradation (21, 22).

2. Objectives

The purpose of this study was to provide an appropriate micro-environment for the adhesion and proliferation of hUC-MSCs over the glutaraldehyde/methanol crosslinked PVA/GE nanofibrous scaffold to be differentiated into osteoblast cells.

3. Methods

3.1. Materials

In the supporting information, a detailed description of the materials used in this study is provided.

3.2. Instruments

Please refer to supporting information to see the instrumentation details.

3.3. Fabrication of Crosslinked PVA/GE Electrospun Scaffold

The PVA/GE scaffolds were fabricated using the electrospinning process, and then they were crosslinked by GA in two steps (for more details, see supporting information).

3.4. Contact Angle Test

The experimental method for determining the contact angle of the prepared scaffolds is described in the supporting data.

3.5. Isolation of hUC-MSCs from Umbilical Cord Wharton's Jelly, Cell Culture, Differentiation, and MTT Assay

A full description, including the isolation of hUC-MSCs from umbilical cord Wharton's Jelly, cell culture, differentiation, and MTT assay, is provided in the supporting data.

3.6. Degradation Measurements and Swelling Test of PVA/GE Nanofibrous Scaffold

Some physicochemical features of crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold are evaluated by degradation, pH changes, and swelling tests (see supporting information for more details).

4. Results

4.1. Morphological study of PVA/GE nanofibrous scaffolds

Scanning electron microscopy micrographs at $30000 \times$ magnification were used to evaluate the morphology of PVA/GE scaffolds in three different situations (Figure 1A, C, and E). The obtained images indicated the PVA/GE 50:50 nanocomposite was formed with interconnected pores and random orientation. Further investigation was carried out by Image J software, which shows the diameter of nanofibers and porosity percentage of scaffolds (Figure 1B, D, and F). Before crosslinking, the PVA/GE diameter was in the 80-90 nm range, and the porosity percentage was 39.5% (Figure 1A and B). We performed two steps of crosslinking to the water-soluble property of the scaffolds to be useful for the bone tissue engineering application. Hence, we treated scaffolds on GA vapor (20%) for 24 h in the first step of crosslinking. The treatment relatively stabilized the scaffolds against water dissolution, but fiber diameter and porosity percentage did not change significantly (Figure 1C and D).

The GA/methanol-treated scaffolds were stabled in buffer solution, and their water resistance properties was significantly enhanced. It was observed that the porosity percentage of the scaffolds was 27.5% (Figure 1E and F). The porosity of the crosslinked scaffold by GA/methanol decreased. However, these crosslinked nanocomposite scaffolds had a good surface to volume ratio, which is beneficial for hUC-MSCs proliferation and migration.

4.2. FT-IR and TGA Analysis

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra are known as valuable tools to identify the presence of specific functional groups or chemical bonds in a compound of interest. Appendix 1A in the Supplementary File shows the FT-IR spectra of PVA, gelatin, and crosslinked PVA/GE fibrous scaffolds (see supporting information for more details). The TGA curves of the PVA, gelatin, crosslinked PVA/GE, and non-crosslinked PVA/GE nanocomposites are presented in Appendix 1B in Supplementary File (see supporting information for more details).

4.3. Contact Angle Measurement

The results of wettability studies are described in the supporting data.

4.4. Degradation Test, pH Changes, and Swelling Behavior of Crosslinked PVA/GE Nanofibrous Scaffold

In this test, degradability and pH changes over the degradation course of the crosslinked scaffold were evaluated by measuring the weight loss and pH values as a function of incubation time in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution at 37°C (Figure 2A). The weight loss curve indicated that the maximum weight loss percentage of scaffolds was 47%, which occurred on day 40. Also, the pH changes of PVA/GE nanocomposite scaffolds in PBS solution at 37°C was studied (Figure 2B). As can be seen, the pH values dropped during scaffold degradation through 40 days. The significant decrease in pH values occurred during the first 20 days of degradation by acid production. No significant changes were perceived at the end of the study period and showed the pH value of 6.5 after 40 days of incubation. It was clear that the release of acidic agents decreased through the last days of degradation (23). The results of water absorption tests of crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold illustrated that the capacity of the scaffold to absorb water decreased during the 40 days of immersion in PBS (Figure 2B). The maximum water absorption rate took place during the initial incubation period and was 198%.

The rate of water uptake reduced in the remainder of the test and reached 100%. After 20 days, PVA/GE nanofibers reached water absorption equilibrium.

4.5. Cell Morphology Isolated from Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells

The morphology of hUC-MSCs was observed by light microscope (Figure 3A and B). Approximately 12 days after cultivation, the hUC-MSCs migrated out from Wharton's jelly pieces. After this time, tissue fragments were removed from flasks, and cells were cultured up to three passages. Microscopic studies exhibited fibroblast-like morphology with a homogeneous population of MSCs. This monolayer of MSCs can then be used for cultivation over the scaffold. The flow cytometry analysis of cultured hUC-MSCs at passage 3 showed that CD29, CD90, and CD105 surface markers were expressed at high levels (88.12 \pm 2.3%, 89.85 \pm 0.27%, and 95.73 \pm 0.31%, respectively). Also, the results demonstrated that the expression of hematopoietic lineage markers CD34 and CD45 were negative (3.21 \pm 0.01% and 2.93 \pm 0.13%, respectively). In addition, hUC-MSCs in the osteogenic medium showed mineralization of calcium was detected by Alizarin Red S staining. Also, adipogenic differentiation of cells were confirmed using Oil Red O staining (Figure 3C).

4.6. MTT Assay and Cell Morphology

MTT assay was used to study the biocompatibility of the crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold with the hUC-MSCs after 3, 5, and 8 days of culture (Figure 4A). The average viability difference of the cells on crosslinked scaffolds was higher than that of the control group at the three evaluation time points and were all statistically significant (P < 0.05). It was 15.9%, 1.6%, and 10.1%, after 3, 5, and 8 days of culture, respectively. Also, Wharton's jelly hUC-MSC morphology and distribution over the crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold were observed by SEM at various magnifications. hUC-MSCs were adhered and spread well over the surface of the scaffold and covered the pores with high integration (Figure 4B). After 48 hours of culture on the crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold, the cells formed a homogeneous distribution.

4.7. Alizarin Red Staining and SEM Images of Differentiated Cells

Under osteogenic conditions, the differentiation potential of the hUC-MSCs cultured over the crosslinked scaffold for 21 days was assessed. Calcium deposition was detected by the appearance of a red-orange color that was indicative of osteogenic differentiation (24). The amount

Uncorrected Proof



Figure 1. SEM image of PVA/GE scaffolds: (A) non-crosslinked, (C) crosslinked by GA vapor, (E) crosslinked in GA/methanol; image J graph of PVA/GE 50:50 scaffolds (B) non-crosslinked, (D) crosslinked by GA vapor, (F) crosslinked in GA/methanol at 30000 × magnification.

of calcium deposition was higher in the 3D cultures compared to the control group, and on day 21, it reached its maximum amount compared to all other days of the experiment (Figure 5).

5. Discussion

Gelatin as a natural polymer was used to fabricate nanofibrous scaffolds due to its high cell affinity, but low processability and weak physical resistance. Meanwhile, synthetic polymers, such as PVA, have sufficient physical strength. However, it has a low tendency to adhere to cells due to the lack of cell recognition sites. Hence, in some previous studies, the preparation and utilization of PVA/GE scaffolds have been reported. Ceylan et al. (25) prepared physically showed better biocompatibility. Besides, Linh et al. (26) fabricated PVA, GE, and PVA/GE nanofibers by electrospinning. They investigated the effect of process parameters (i.e., the concentration of GE in PVA/GE blends, electrical field, and tip-to-collector distance) on the chemical, morphological, and mechanical properties of the prepared scaffolds. Also, Linh and Lee (27) produced electrospun nanofibrous PVA/GE that was physically crosslinked by methanol treatment. They seeded MG-63 cells on the manufactured scaffold and showed that osteoblasts could attach and proliferate on the nanofibrous PVA/GE.

PVA/GE cryogels by chemical and physical crosslinking of

PVA and gelatin mixture. They demonstrated that the phys-

ically crosslinked cryogels degraded faster than the chem-

ically cross-linked ones. However, the scaffolds crosslinked

Uncorrected Proof

Rashidi S et al.



Figure 2. A, Weight loss and pH changes; B, Water adsorption of the crosslinked PVA/GE scaffold in PBS at 37°C

The aim of this study was to fabricate a biocompatible PVA/GE nanofibrous scaffold for osteogenic differenti-

ation of MSCs. For this purpose, we fabricated a PVA/GE scaffold to obtain both mechanical strength through PVA

Uncorrected Proof

Rashidi S et al.



Figure 3. Morphology of hUC-MSCs: A, Migration of hUC-MSCs from Wharton's jelly pieces on day 12; B, hUC-MSC at passage 3 without morphological changes; and C, confirmed confirmed the differentiation of hUC-MSC to osteogenic and adipogenic cells

support and biological properties through gelatin. The water-soluble nature of PVA and gelatin makes them insufficient for applications in aqueous media, such as biological systems. In this regard, we performed crosslinking to improve this property of the scaffolds and make them water-resistant and useful for tissue engineering applications. One of the most influential and broadly used chemical crosslinking agents is glutaraldehyde (GA). GA can crosslink polymers like gelatin and PVA that have hydroxyl and amine groups. In the crosslinking step, a Schiff's base reaction occurs through aldehyde groups of GA and amine groups of gelatin, as can be proved by FTIR and TGA. The porosity percentage of the crosslinked PVA/GE nanofibers was within the appropriate range for cellular activity and proliferation, which indicates its promising potential for use as a biomaterial in bone tissue engineering applications.

The surface wettability of nanofibrous scaffolds is a

crucial property that can affect cell behavior. As seen in Appendix 2 in Supplementary File, the scaffold crosslinked with GA/methanol indicated notably higher contact angles compared to the non-crosslinked scaffold. This result indicated that the imperfect hydrophobic nature of noncrosslinked PVA/GE that causes problems for use in aqueous media was improved by crosslinking. Also, the obtained results demonstrated that swelling property, degradation behavior, and pH changes of the crosslinked scaffold were appropriate for the tissue engineering application. The hydrophobic properties of the scaffold and dissolution rate of oligomers affected the swollen behavior of scaffold, which was related to the balance between the degradation rate of oligomers in the solution and the water uptake by nanofibers (28). From the MTT results, it became clear that the non-toxic property of the crosslinked scaffold provides good hUC-MSCs attachment. Due to the presence of numerous polar groups in PVA and gelatin



Rashidi S et al.

Figure 4. A, MTT assay, (A1) OD, (A2) percentage of cell viability on the crosslinked scaffold after 3, 5, and 8 days of culture; B, SEM image of the MSCs on the scaffolds (B1) 3000 × and (B2) 5000 × magnification

structures, they are known as hydrophilic polymers. Therefore, scaffolds containing PVA/GE can be destroyed and dissolved easily in aqueous solutions (such as PBS). However, crosslinking leads to the formation of a 3D network of polymers, which can provide a stable environment for the adhesion and proliferation of seeded cells. SEM images indicated that uniform structure of electrospun scaffold provided a suitable environment for cell proliferation and differentiation. As shown by SEM images, the introduced PVA/GE nanofibrous electrospun scaffold had excellent performance for supporting the osteogenic differentiation of hUC-MSCs into osteoblast-like cells.

5.1. Conclusions

A PVA/GE nanocomposite scaffold was successfully prepared by the electrospinning technique. Chemical crosslinking by GA and GA/methanol immersion was utilized to change scaffold solubility. This treatment influenced the morphology and solubility of the scaffold. Assay results revealed that scaffolds have an excellent swelling rate, degradation behavior, and pH changes. The in vitro cell culture studies using Wharton's jelly-derived MSCs showed that nanofibrous scaffolds were biocompatible, and cells seeded on the scaffolds adhered to and proliferated on pore walls. Also, under osteogenic conditions, MSCs could be differentiated into osteoblast cells. These results show the potential application of PVA/GE nanocomposites in bone tissue engineering.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Somayyeh Rashidi did research. Asadollah Asadi designed the research. Asadollah Asadi,



Figure 5. Alizarin red staining of the 7, 14, and 21-days differentiated cells on the scaffold. Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Saeid Latifi-Navid, and Saber Zahri conducted the experiments. Somayyeh Rashidi and Ali Khodayari analyzed the data. Somayyeh Rashidi, Asadollah Asadi, and Arash Abdolmaleki wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: All the experiments were performed in accordance with the Ethics Committee of University of Mohaghegh Ardabili (UMA, code number: 3195).

Funding/Support: University of Mohaghegh Ardabili funded this research.

References

- Murugan R, Ramakrishna S. Nano-featured scaffolds for tissue engineering: a review of spinning methodologies. *Tissue Eng.* 2006;12(3):435–47. doi: 10.1089/ten.2006.12.435. [PubMed: 16579677].
- Howard D, Buttery LD, Shakesheff KM, Roberts SJ. Tissue engineering: strategies, stem cells and scaffolds. *J Anat.* 2008;213(1):66–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008.00878.x. [PubMed: 18422523]. [PubMed Central: PMC2475566].
- 3. Beachley V, Wen X. Polymer nanofibrous structures: Fabrication, biofunctionalization, and cell interactions. *Prog Polym Sci.*

2010;**35**(7):868-92. doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2010.03.003. [PubMed: 20582161]. [PubMed Central: PMC2889711].

- Smith LA, Ma PX. Nano-fibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2004;**39**(3):125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2003.12.004. [PubMed: 15556341].
- Agarwal S, Wendorff JH, Greiner A. Use of electrospinning technique for biomedical applications. *Polymer*. 2008;49(26):5603–21. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2008.09.014.
- Nooeaid P, Salih V, Beier JP, Boccaccini AR. Osteochondral tissue engineering: scaffolds, stem cells and applications. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2012;16(10):2247-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2012.01571.x. [PubMed: 22452848]. [PubMed Central: PMC3823419].
- Chan BP, Leong KW. Scaffolding in tissue engineering: general approaches and tissue-specific considerations. *Eur Spine J*. 2008;17 Suppl 4:467-79. doi: 10.1007/s00586-008-0745-3. [PubMed: 19005702]. [PubMed Central: PMC2587658].
- Moradi SL, Golchin A, Hajishafieeha Z, Khani MM, Ardeshirylajimi A. Bone tissue engineering: Adult stem cells in combination with electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds. *J Cell Physiol*. 2018;233(10):6509–22. doi: 10.1002/jcp.26606. [PubMed: 29719054].
- Bhardwaj N, Kundu SC. Electrospinning: a fascinating fiber fabrication technique. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2010;28(3):325–47. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.01.004. [PubMed: 20100560].
- Cui W, Zhou Y, Chang J. Electrospun nanofibrous materials for tissue engineering and drug delivery. *Sci Technol Adv Mater*. 2010;**11**(1):14108. doi: 10.1088/1468-6996/11/1/014108. [PubMed: 27877323]. [PubMed Central: PMC5090550].

- Zhu N, Che X. Biofabrication of Tissue Scaffolds. Advances in Biomaterials Science and Biomedical Applications. 2013. doi: 10.5772/54125.
- Yoshimoto H, Shin YM, Terai H, Vacanti JP. A biodegradable nanofiber scaffold by electrospinning and its potential for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials*. 2003;24(12):2077–82. doi: 10.1016/s0142-9612(02)00635-x. [PubMed: 12628828].
- Jafari M, Paknejad Z, Rad MR, Motamedian SR, Eghbal MJ, Nadjmi N, et al. Polymeric scaffolds in tissue engineering: a literature review. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2017;105(2):431–59. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.33547. [PubMed: 26496456].
- Ma PX. Biomimetic materials for tissue engineering. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2008;**60**(2):184–98. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2007.08.041. [PubMed: 18045729]. [PubMed Central: PMC2271038].
- Qiu YL, Chen X, Hou YI, Hou YJ, Tian SB, Chen YH, et al. Characterization of different biodegradable scaffolds in tissue engineering. *Mol Med Rep.* 2019;**19**(5):4043–56. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2019.10066. [PubMed: 30896809]. [PubMed Central: PMC6471812].
- Shoichet MS. Polymer Scaffolds for Biomaterials Applications. Macromolecules. 2009;43(2):581–91. doi: 10.1021/ma901530r.
- Kumar P, Nagarajan A, Uchil PD. Analysis of Cell Viability by the MTT Assay. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc.* 2018;2018(6). doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot095505. [PubMed: 29858338].
- Hassan CM, Peppas NA. Structure and Applications of Poly(vinyl alcohol) Hydrogels Produced by Conventional Crosslinking or by Freezing/Thawing Methods. *Biopolymers · PVA Hydrogels, Anionic Polymerisation Nanocomposites*. 2000. p. 37-65. doi: 10.1007/3-540-46414-x_2.
- Sajkiewicz P, Kolbuk D. Electrospinning of gelatin for tissue engineering-molecular conformation as one of the overlooked problems. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed. 2014;25(18):2009-22. doi: 10.1080/09205063.2014.975392. [PubMed: 25357002].
- Ranganathan S, Balagangadharan K, Selvamurugan N. Chitosan and gelatin-based electrospun fibers for bone tissue engineering. *Int* J Biol Macromol. 2019;133:354–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.04.115.

[PubMed: 31002907].

- Wang X, Ding B, Li B. Biomimetic electrospun nanofibrous structures for tissue engineering. *Mater Today (Kidlington)*. 2013;16(6):229–41. doi: 10.1016/j.mattod.2013.06.005. [PubMed: 25125992]. [PubMed Central: PMC4130655].
- 22. Bhattarai N, Li Z, Gunn J, Leung M, Cooper A, Edmondson D, et al. Natural-synthetic polyblend nanofibers for biomedical applications. *Adv Mat.* 2009;**21**(27):2792–7.
- Rahman M, Dey K, Parvin F, Sharmin N, Khan RA, Sarker B, et al. Preparation and Characterization of Gelatin-Based PVA Film: Effect of Gamma Irradiation. *Int J Polym Mat.* 2011;60(13):1056–69. doi: 10.1080/00914037.2010.551365.
- Hanna H, Mir LM, Andre FM. In vitro osteoblastic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells generates cell layers with distinct properties. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2018;9(1):203. doi: 10.1186/s13287-018-0942-x. [PubMed: 30053888]. [PubMed Central: PMC6063016].
- Ceylan S, Gokturk D, Bolgen N. Effect of crosslinking methods on the structure and biocompatibility of polyvinyl alcohol/gelatin cryogels. *Biomed Mater Eng.* 2016;27(4):327–40. doi: 10.3233/BME-161589. [PubMed: 27689567].
- Linh NT, Min YK, Song HY, Lee BT. Fabrication of polyvinyl alcohol/gelatin nanofiber composites and evaluation of their material properties. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2010;95(1):184–91. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.31701. [PubMed: 20737434].
- Linh NT, Lee BT. Electrospinning of polyvinyl alcohol/gelatin nanofiber composites and cross-linking for bone tissue engineering application. J Biomater Appl. 2012;27(3):255–66. doi: 10.1177/0885328211401932. [PubMed: 21680612].
- Salem KS, Lubna MM, Rahman AM, NurNabi M, Islam R; et al. The effect of multiwall carbon nanotube additions on the thermo-mechanical, electrical, and morphological properties of gelatin-polyvinyl alcohol blend nanocomposite. J Composite Mat. 2015;49:1379–91.